COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0651-01

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HB 183

Subject: Retirement - Local Government; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies

Type: Original Date: May 20, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding the Police Retirement System of

Kansas City and the Civilian Employees' Retirement System of the Police

Department of Kansas City.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0651-01

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HB 183

Page 2 of 4 May 20, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0651-01

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HB 183

Page 3 of 4 May 20, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

The **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCPER)** has reviewed this proposal and has determined an actuarial study is not needed under the provisions of section 105.660, subdivision (5).

Officials from the **Kansas City Police Retirement System** assume all of these changes are expected to have a positive financial impact on the System's funding as they either result in less money being paid out of or more money coming into the System, there is no way to estimate the cost savings in a traditional cost study. The valuation process and the assumptions used are not specific and detailed with respect to these factors. Therefore, if these changes are enacting into law, they will not change any of the assumptions or methods used in the current actuarial valuation process. As a result, the cost savings cannot be quantified by the traditional actuarial methodologies.

The initial fiscal impact of this proposal is \$0.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0651-01 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HB 183 Page 4 of 4 May 20, 2011

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement Kansas City Police Retirement System

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 20, 2011